

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/552,815	10/11/2005	Declan Patrick Kelly	NL 030420	5564	
24737 PHILIPS INTE	7590 04/30/200 ELLECTUAL PROPER	EXAM	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 3001			DUDEK JR, EDWARD J		
BRIARCLIFF	MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2186		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/30/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/552,815	KELLY ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Edward J. Dudek	2186		

-	Edward J. Dudek	2186					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
IE REPLY FILED 17 April 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWAND. When It is application, and in a rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.311 or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. The period for reply expires out. (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST REJECTION. See WHEP 706.07(n).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount on shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date.	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Offic e of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as ven if timely filed,				
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) hey raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) hey raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or They present additional claims without canceling a			ne issues for				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be alnon-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate, t						
7. \(\subseteq for purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prorection. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	l and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).				
 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
The request for reconsideration has been considered busee Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
/Matt Kim/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2186	/Edward J Dudek/ Examiner, Art Unit 2186						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues "It is respectfully submitted that the Fujimoto non-volatile flash memory has nothing to do and does not disclose or suggest a record carrier; a different from a flash memory and thus presents different considerations. There is simply no disclosure or suggestion in Bradley, Fujimoto, and combination thereof of "napping means which is adapted to mount said second file system on as director decord carrier and to translate said second file system in a memory unit into equivalent structures of said first file system, and to store static data structures of said first file system in a file on said record carrier and volatile data structures of said first file system in a sid memory unit, as recited in independent claim 1. Storing static data structures of the first file system in a file on the record carrier reduces the need bequent updates, thus reducing the likelihood that the record carrier evill run out of cycles for rewriting. No such recyclability issue is present for the Fujimoto non-volatile flash memory. "(See pages 14-15)

The Examiner respectfully disagrees. The Fujimoto reference is only relied upon to disclose storing volatile data structures on the volatile memory and static data structures on the record carrier. The Fujimoto reference is similar to the claimed limitations of a record carrier. A flash memory cannot be over-written, in order to write over data that is currently stored on the memory, the memory area must first be erased, then new data can now be written to that area. Similarly, for a record carrier, a record carrier, but the record carriers must first be erased before this can happen. Therefore, Fujimoto stores volatile data structured in the volatile memory to prevent multiple writes to the non-volatile memory, which would eventually force an erase cycle when there are no more available blocks to write new data to. Bradley already discloses the mounting and translation of the second file system into equivalent structures of the first file system (see 10/331).

/Matt Kim/ SPE, AU2186